# S&P Global Ratings

# RatingsDirect<sup>®</sup>

# Summary:

# Rocky Hill, Connecticut; General Obligation; Note

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Christian Richards, Washington D.C. + 1 (617) 530 8325; christian.richards@spglobal.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Victor M Medeiros, Boston + 1 (617) 530 8305; victor.medeiros@spglobal.com

#### **Table Of Contents**

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

## Summary:

# Rocky Hill, Connecticut; General Obligation; Note

Credit Profile			10
US\$10.72 mil GO rfdg bnds ser 2022 due	04/15/2034		
Long Term Rating	AA+/Stable	New	
Rocky Hill GO BANs			
Short Term Rating	SP-1+	Affirmed	
Rocky Hill GO			
Long Term Rating	AA+/Stable	Affirmed	

## **Rating Action**

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' long-term rating to Rocky Hill, Conn.'s series 2022 general obligation (GO) refunding bonds and affirmed its 'AA+' rating on the town's GO debt outstanding. The outlook on the long-term rating is stable. At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'SP-1+' short-term rating on Rocky Hill's \$2.25 million GO bond anticipation notes (BANs) outstanding.

The town's unlimited-tax GO pledge to levy ad valorem taxes, without limit as to rate or amount, on all taxable property within its borders secures the bonds and notes.

The short-term BAN rating reflects our criteria for evaluating and rating BANs. In our view, Rocky Hill maintains a very strong capacity to pay principal and interest when the notes come due. In our view, and in accordance with our criteria "Bond Anticipation Note Rating Methodology" (published Aug. 31, 2011, on RatingsDirect), the town maintains a low market risk profile because it has strong legal authority to issue long-term debt to take out the notes and is a frequent issuer that regularly provides ongoing disclosure to market participants.

Proceeds from the refunding bonds will refund the town's 2014 GO bonds for an approximately 11% net present value savings.

#### Credit overview

The town is a suburban community in Hartford County with a strong and stable residential and commercial economic base. While it maintains available reserves below state and national peers, its conservative budgeting practices have helped it maintain stable and predictable budgetary performance over the past several years, including through the pandemic. While we recognize growth in the tax base has been modest, the town maintains above-average socioeconomic demographics and a stable taxing base, with access to and participation within the broader Hartford metropolitan statistical area (MSA). While debt service costs rose approximately \$3 million from fiscal years 2020 to 2021, we expect debt service costs to fall and its long-term pension and other postemployment benefit (OPEB) costs are minimal, resulting in overall low fixed costs, which should continue to support its ability to produce strong budgetary performance.

The rating further reflects our opinion of Rocky Hill's:

- · Largely residential tax base but with new development across sectors;
- · Strong financial management environment with a team that actively budgets to its adopted policies, along with a strong institutional framework score;
- · Stable financial profile with predictable revenue and expenditure environment leading to stable reserve and cash balances;
- · Rising debt services costs over the past year that will incrementally decline and no material retirement liability or cost pressures.

#### Environmental, social, and governance

We analyzed the town's environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks relative to its economy, management, financial measures, and debt and liability profile, and determined that all are in line with our view of the sector standard. Over the long term, we believe it could face inland flooding risks from the Connecticut River, but management reports no flooding issues in the recent past.

#### Stable Outlook

#### Downside scenario

If the town experiences weakened budgetary performance or continued drawdowns to fund balance, resulting in weakened flexibility, we could lower the rating.

#### Upside scenario

Over time, we could raise the rating if the town produces balanced-to-positive operating results and maintains budgetary flexibility at levels in line with those of higher-rated peers, in addition to improvements to the debt profile levels.

# **Credit Opinion**

#### Stable residential tax base with ongoing development

Rocky Hill is primarily a residential community with a robust commercial sector. State Routes 3, 99, and 160, and Interstate 91 traverse the town, providing residents access to broader regional employment opportunities. We expect the local economy and tax base to remain stable, with incremental growth in residential, commercial, and industrial sectors. The town's economic development demonstrated through its building permit activity over the past several years is robust, with expected continued development. Management notes the second phase of a multi-use development will being later this calendar year and another one forthcoming on a brownfield site along the river, with applications pending for another development of luxury apartments and commercial space. Commercial and industrial properties also continue reinvestment in existing properties, particularly in the industrial park. We anticipate that our assessment of the town's economic profile will remain very strong, bolstered by its participation in the broad and diverse MSA.

#### Strong financial management environment with well-embedded policies and practices

The town has generally conservative budgeting practices, and management reviews the past five years of revenue and expenditure trends to inform budgetary assumptions. Management presents monthly budget-to-actual reports to the finance board. The town adheres to the state's investment-management limitations, but does not have any formal reporting outside of the audit. Rocky Hill has five-year capital improvement plans that identify funding sources and include both town and school department needs that it updates annually.

The town does not maintain a formal long-term financial forecast, but management does account for pending issues in its budget formulation with structural balance as the clear goal in the outyears. Its adopted reserve policy requires management to maintain unassigned fund balance at 5% of expenditures, transferring any balance of more than 6% into the capital projects fund. Rocky Hill does not have any formal debt management plans.

### Strong institutional framework

The institutional framework score for Connecticut municipalities is strong.

### Stable performance likely to lead to stable cash, reserves over the next few years

We expect the town to maintain strong budgetary performance over the next few years. Its fiscal 2021 general fund audited reserve drawdown was due to the budgeted use of reserves for capital as management worked to adhere to its reserve policy. The 2022 budget again includes a \$382,000 use of reserves, as part of a \$1.6 million cash-funded capital investment plan, to maintain reserves in accordance with the town's reserve policy. The budget also includes \$1.4 million in American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds. The town will receive \$5.9 million total from ARPA, the remainder of which is likely to be used in subsequent budget years for approved capital projects, health and safety investments, and other community investments. We understand that management currently projects approximately balanced general fund results at year-end and we do not expect a material change in reserve levels or our views of the town's budgetary performance, or flexibility and liquidity profiles.

Our analysis of budgetary performance includes adjustments to account for regularly recurring transfers from the general fund, coupled with one-time revenues and expenditures associated with bond and note proceeds and one-time intergovernmental grants. The town benefits from a stable and predictable revenue profile that is largely independent of state or federal funds. Property taxes makes up more than 80% of operating revenue. Tax collections have historically remained strong, with current collections exceeding 98% during the past five years. Intergovernmental sources represent the second-highest share of general fund revenue at 17%. We understand management expects to receive increases in state funded education cost-sharing grants and certain payments-in-lieu-of-taxes, although we do not expect a material change in the revenue profile. The 2022 budget totals \$88.6 million, a 3.3% overall increase over the previous year. While the town maintains lower available reserves than comparably rated state and national municipalities, we believe its financial profile will remain stable due to proactive management and a stable revenue and expenditure environment.

#### Stable debt profile with limited future debt needs

The town has approximately \$85 million in total direct debt outstanding, of which \$2.2 million is BANs for which it expects to receive partial reimbursement from the state. It has about \$6 million authorized but unissued debt it could issue over the next few years; we do not expect this to materially alter the town's debt profile.

Rocky Hill's combined required pension and actual OPEB contributions totaled 2.3% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2021. Of that amount, 1.5% represented required contributions to pension obligations, and 0.8% represented OPEB payments. The town made 45% of its required pension contribution in 2021.

#### Pension and OPEB costs we expect to remain affordable

We do not expect pension and OPEB liabilities or costs to meaningfully pressure the town's finances. Its two largest plans are funded at least 100%, while the smaller two have low costs relative to the overall budget. All four plans use a 7% discount rate, which is higher than our guidance, but given the respective high funded ratios or low liabilities and costs, we do not expect material cost volatility. The town did not make its actuarially determined contribution (ADC) in any of its four plans in the most recent year due to a timing issue with the valuations and a change in budgeting due to expected investment performance; we expect it will continue to meet its ADC in future years.

Rocky Hill also provides retiree health care benefits to employees. As of June 30, 2021, the liability was 14% funded with a \$18.6 million net OPEB liability. We believe there cost be some escalation in the town's OPEB costs, but given the current low charge, do not expect significant budgetary pressure in the near term.

Rocky Hill participates in the following local administered pension plans, as of June 30, 2021:

- General Employees' Pension Plan: 108% funded, \$4.1 million net pension asset;
- · Police Officers Pension Plan: 100% funded, \$71,000 net pension asset;
- Firefighters Pension Plan: 69% funded, \$850,000 net pension liability (NPL); and
- · Ambulance Pension Plan: 85% funded, \$172,000 NPL.

Rocky Hill, CT Key Credit Metrics					
	Most recent	Histo	rical inform	ormation	
		2021	2020	2019	
Very strong economy					
Projected per capita EBI % of U.S.	131				
Market value per capita (\$)	160,072				
Population			20,044	20,083	
County unemployment rate(%)			8.1		
Market value (\$000)	3,208,487	3,189,872	3,155,641		
Ten largest taxpayers % of taxable value	10.8				
Strong budgetary performance					
Operating fund result % of expenditures		(0.6)	2.2	(0.4)	
Total governmental fund result % of expenditures		(0.7)	0.6	(1.6)	
Adequate budgetary flexibility					
Available reserves % of operating expenditures		7.7	8.9	7.2	
Total available reserves (\$000)		7,077	7,636	5,786	
Very strong liquidity					
Total government cash % of governmental fund expenditures		21	28	32	
Total government cash % of governmental fund debt service		226	442	506	

	Most recent	Historical information		
		2021	2020	2019
Strong management				
Financial Management Assessment	Good			
Weak debt & long-term liabilities				
Debt service % of governmental fund expenditures		9.5	6.4	6.4
Net direct debt % of governmental fund revenue	89			
Overall net debt % of market value	4.2			
Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)	56			
Required pension contribution % of governmental fund expenditures		1.5		
OPEB actual contribution % of governmental fund expenditures		0.8		

EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits.

#### Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- · Criteria Guidance: Assessing U.S. Public Finance Pension And Other Postemployment Obligations For GO Debt, Local Government GO Ratings, And State Ratings, Oct. 7, 2019
- Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2022 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.